

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INV	ENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
88/957,833 18/	27/97 ' SI I	VERS		R	RTIWWIXX
WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN GAGNEBIN & HAYS LLP		LM61/1206	٦ (EXAMINER NGUYEN, I	
TEN POST OFFFICE BOSTON MA 02109	SQUARE		[ART UNIT 2772	T PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/06/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Application No.

08/957,833

Applicant(s)

Robert S. Silvers

Examiner

Advisory Action

Thu Nguyen

Group Art Unit 2772



TH	E PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]			
	a) 🗌	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
	b) 🔀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.			
	date on determi	ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ed from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.			
	Appella period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).			
		's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Nov 23, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:			
X	The pr	oposed amendment(s):			
	□ wi	ll be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.			
	X wi	Il not be entered because:			
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).			
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).			
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.			
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
	NO.	TE: Added limitations require further search and consideration			
	□ Ap	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):			
		proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a sate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.			
		ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:			
		ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by caminer in the final rejection.			
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed:				
		s objected to:			
	Claim	s rejected: <u>1, 3-15, 17-31, and 33-53</u>			
	The p	roposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.			
	Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).			
	Other	MARK R. POWELL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2700			